MINUTES OF THE **REGULAR MEETING OF THE** WASHINGTON COUNTY QUORUM COURT

Thursday, August 15, 2013 6:00 p.m. Washington County Quorum Court Room

- 191.1 The Washington County Quorum Court met in regular session on Thursday. August 15, 2013. The meeting was called to order by County Judge Marilyn Edwards.
- 191.2 T. Lundstrum led the Quorum Court in a prayer and C. Clark led them in the Pledge of Allegiance.
- 191.3 MEMBERS PRESENT: Ron Aman, Rex Bailey, Candy Clark, Rick Cochran. Barbara Fitzpatrick, Ann Harbison, Tom Lundstrum, Eva Madison, Joe Patterson, Butch Pond, MaryAnn Spears and Bill Ussery.
- 191.4 MEMBERS ABSENT: Harvey Bowman, John Firmin, and Jimmy Mardis.
- 191.5 It was noted that M. Spears would be arriving late to the meeting.
- 191.6 OTHERS PRESENT: County Judge Marilyn Edwards, County Chief of Staff Dan Short, County Comptroller Cheryl Bolinger; Interested Citizens; and Members of the Press.
- 191.7 ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA: Judge Edwards asked if there were any additions or deletions to the agenda.
- 191.8 A motion was made and seconded to adopt the agenda as distributed. The motion passed unanimously by voice vote by those present. The agenda was adopted as distributed.
- APPROVAL OF MINUTES: Judge Edwards asked for approval of the minutes 191.9 of the July 18 regular meeting and the June 24 special meeting of the Washington County Quorum Court.
- A motion was made and seconded to approve the minutes as 191.10 distributed. The motion passed unanimously by voice vote by those present. The minutes were approved.
- FINANCE REPORT: C. Clark reported that there were two resolutions and 191.11 seven appropriation ordinances on the agenda tonight that had been thoroughly discussed and forwarded from the Finance and Budget Committee.

- A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE WASHINGTON COUNTY JUDGE TO SUBMIT A GRANT REQUEST TO THE ARKANSAS RURAL DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION ON BEHALF OF THE SUNSET RURAL FIRE DEPARTMENT: C. Clark introduced A Resolution Authorizing The Washington County Judge To Submit A Grant Request To The Arkansas Rural Development Commission On Behalf Of The Sunset Rural Fire Department, and County Attorney George Butler read the resolution.
- 192.2 C. Clark made a motion to adopt the resolution. A. Harbison seconded.
- 192.3 R. Aman asked if the State is receiving this money from the federal government; to which Grants Administrator Wayne Blankenship replied no and clarified that this money is general improvement money approved from the State legislature.
- 192.4 With no further discussion, Judge Edwards called for a vote on the motion to adopt the resolution.
- 192.5 <u>Citizen Comments:</u> There were no citizen comments.
- 192.6 <u>VOTING FOR:</u> E. Madison, J. Patterson, B. Pond, B. Ussery, R. Aman, R. Bailey, C. Clark, R. Cochran, B. Fitzpatrick, A. Harbison, and T. Lundstrum. The motion passed unanimously by those present. The resolution was adopted.
 - RESOLUTION NO. 2013-13, BOOK NO. 3, PAGE NO. 53
- A RESOLUTION ENDORSING THE PARTICIPATION OF ORC PRODUCTS, INC., IN THE SALES AND USE TAX REFUND PROGRAM AUTHORIZED BY THE CONSOLIDATED INCENTIVE ACT OF 2003 AND ARK. CODE ANN. §15-4-2706(d): C. Clark introduced A Resolution Endorsing The Participation Of ORC Products, Inc., In The Sales And Use Tax Refund Program Authorized By The Consolidated Incentive Act Of 2003 And Ark. Code Ann. §15-4-2706(d), and County Attorney George Butler read the resolution.
- 192.8 C. Clark made a motion to adopt the resolution. R. Cochran seconded.
- 192.9 With no further discussion, Judge Edwards called for a vote on the motion to adopt the resolution.
- 192.10 <u>Citizen Comments:</u> There were no citizen comments.

- 193.1 <u>VOTING FOR:</u> E. Madison, J. Patterson, B. Pond, B. Ussery, R. Aman, R. Bailey, C. Clark, R. Cochran, B. Fitzpatrick, A. Harbison, and T. Lundstrum. The motion passed unanimously by those present. The resolution was adopted.
- 193.2 Lance Eads with the Springdale Chamber addressed the Quorum Court and thanked them for this opportunity, noting that ORC Products, Inc. would be adding 15 jobs now and more in the future.
- 193.3 C. Clark stated since she has been on the Quorum Court over the past five years, she has seen one of these resolutions come in from the City of Fayetteville and several from the City of Springdale. She stated that she is proud of the Springdale Chamber of Commerce as this is a great way to help extend their tax base and a great way to help the community.

RESOLUTION NO. 2013-14, BOOK NO. 3, PAGE NO. 54

- AN ORDINANCE TRANSFERRING MONIES OF \$9,375 WITHIN THE BUILDINGS & GROUNDS BUDGET IN THE GENERAL FUND FOR 2013:

 C. Clark introduced An Ordinance Transferring Monies Of \$9,375 Within The Buildings & Grounds Budget In The General Fund For 2013, and County Attorney George Butler read the ordinance.
- 193.5 C. Clark explained that this was for new fencing at the Animal Shelter.
- 193.6 C. Clark made a motion to adopt the ordinance. E. Madison seconded.
- T. Lundstrum stated that this is not a lot of money; however, he will be opposing the ordinance because he believes that they can divide existing runs in two and acquire three new runs. He stated that they are also asking for three new employees in conjunction with this and he would have preferred them to bring this up at a later time.
- B. Fitzpatrick stated that she will support this ordinance because these are runs for animals not yet through quarantine and that cannot mix with the other healthy animals.
- 193.9 With no further discussion, Judge Edwards called for a vote on the motion to adopt the ordinance.
- 193.10 <u>VOTING FOR:</u> B. Pond, B. Fitzpatrick, and A. Harbison. <u>VOTING AGAINST:</u> E. Madison, J. Patterson, B. Ussery, R. Aman, R. Bailey, C. Clark, R. Cochran, and T. Lundstrum. The motion failed with three members voting in favor and eight voting against the motion.

- 194.1 County Attorney George Butler stated that theoretically this could come back for a second and third reading, but it would require eight votes to pass.
- AN ORDINANCE TRANSFERRING MONIES OF \$64,693 WITHIN THE BUILDINGS & GROUNDS BUDGET IN THE GENERAL FUND FOR 2013:

 C. Clark introduced An Ordinance Transferring Monies Of \$64,693 Within The Buildings & Grounds Budget In The General Fund For 2013, and County Attorney George Butler read the ordinance.
- 194.3 C. Clark explained that this was for the air exchange unit for the Courthouse.
- 194.4 C. Clark made a motion to adopt the ordinance. R. Cochran seconded.
- 194.5 With no further discussion, Judge Edwards called for a vote on the motion to adopt the ordinance.
- 194.6 <u>VOTING FOR:</u> E. Madison, J. Patterson, B. Pond, B. Ussery, R. Aman, R. Bailey, C. Clark, R. Cochran, B. Fitzpatrick, A. Harbison, and T. Lundstrum. The motion passed unanimously by those present. The ordinance was adopted.

ORDINANCE NO. 2013-45, BOOK NO. 9, PAGE NO. 233

- AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$93,960 FROM THE GENERAL FUND TO THE PLANNING BUDGET FOR 2013: C. Clark introduced An Ordinance Appropriating \$93,960 From The General Fund To The Planning Budget For 2013, and County Attorney George Butler read the ordinance.
- 194.8 C. Clark explained that this was to update the software in the Planning Office.
- 194.9 C. Clark made a motion to adopt the ordinance. R. Bailey seconded.
- T. Lundstrum stated that they have spent so much money on their IT Department and are still going outside to get this kind of work done while he was of the understanding that they would be doing this kind of work in house. He stated that he would personally like to see this brought before the Budget Committee for discussion and thought and as it stands tonight, he will oppose this ordinance.
- 194.11 R. Cochran stated that he has spoken with Planning Director Juliet Richey and IT Director John Adams about the issues that they have with the software being as old as it is and incompatible with the Microsoft packages that they currently have which has creating quite a burden for them to perform their

> daily tasks. Unfortunately in was not discussed during the 2013 budget process but it hit their department blindsided as John has been doing the upgrading for all the basic platforms. He stated that while he would have liked to see this come before the Budget Committee and budgeted for the current year, he will be supporting the ordinance tonight from the standpoint that the inefficiencies that are present can be absolved. There is a discount available to them for this purchase because of other work they are doing, and this is something that they have to do and the sooner they do it, the better it will be for them. He explained that development of a package like this would take in-house staff a couple of years to do unless they hired more people. However, he stated that it is getting done in a fashion that they will have all source codes; therefore, they will be able to have future revisions, modifications, and they have the right to sell all or part of it to others. He stated that, with this package, they will have control over this whereas other packages that they looked at would require custom modifications at significant expense and time.

- A. Harbison stated that she will support this ordinance as Juliet Richey does an excellent job in the Planning Office and this is compatible with new software that the Road Department is adopting and it will be better to implement both at the same time. Regarding why this was not before the Budget Committee, she stated that there are always things that come up now that they cannot foresee in the future and this is one of those things.
- R. Bailey stated that no one has been harder on the IT Department and John Adams than he has, but Juliet Richey does not come to them unless she really needs it, so he will be supporting this ordinance.
- T. Lundstrum stated that while he does not question Juliet Richey at all, he does have a problem with the discount conversation. He stated he has been in business long enough to know when someone offers you a 10% discount on a \$90,000 deal, it is built in to the deal to start with or they would not be giving it us and if we wanted to buy it at a later date and they had the alternative to either give us the discount or lose our business, he suspects that we would still get the discount.
- T. Lundstrum asked why this does not have to through the bid process since it is in excess of \$20,000; to which George Butler responded that it is a part of a State bid.
- B. Fitzpatrick stated that she agrees that the timing is not good as far as their budget cycle is concerned and it would have been nice if they could have waited. However, she stated that the Planning Department has needed this, but has been doing a miraculous job of disguising how bad it was needed.

- 196.1 She noted that the fact that her software needs to talk to the Road Department's software and the Road Department's software is being written currently by this same company which is the reason for the discount. She believes that the discount would be from the best use of their tax dollars and this is the right time to do this so she will be supporting the ordinance.
- C. Clark stated that Juliet Richey's need is very clear cut, present and current and she will support this ordinance. She stated that she runs businesses that use proprietary software and they try not to upgrade until they absolutely have to. She concurred with R. Bailey that Juliet Richey does not ask for much and does a heck of a lot of work and with as fast as the county is growing, they need up-to-date software that can handle that task in an efficient and correct manner.
- B. Pond stated that he will support this ordinance. He stated that it was his understanding that the vendor was offering a 10% discount because they are currently working with the Road Department, and it is more convenient for them to do the work at the Planning Office while they are there.
- Juliet Richey, County Planning Director, addressed the Quorum Court stating she agrees that the timing is not the best and her intention was to bring this to them during the 2014 budget process. She stated that she has been researching this for almost a year, and since the Road Department is using the same team of developers who is offering the discount to work on both at the same time and keep their team deployed. It will be easier to tie the software together if they are working on them at the same time. She stated that she understands their reservations and wanting it during the regular budget process, but she does not feel like she asks for much; that it was her job to bring it to them if there was an opportunity to save \$10,000; and asks them to support this ordinance.
- 196.5 E. Madison stated that sometimes even Walmart with their vast IT Department has to go outside because they cannot run it all themselves, so sometimes it is cheaper and better to go outside when they cannot do it all themselves, even with a good team. She will be supporting this request.
- 196.6 R. Aman asked about a warranty on the product; to which Juliet Richey stated that they have a series of tests that they do on each module as they are delivered and completed on a monthly basis.
- John Adams, IT Director, stated for the complete product there is a 90-day warranty for any bugs. The ninety days will begin after the completion date, which will be sometime in December. If the Planning or IT Department discover anything wrong within the code within this 90-day period, they will come in and fix it at no charge.

- B. Ussery stated that it was his understanding that once this new software was installed, the County would be able to maintain it; to which Mr. Adams responded that that was correct. He then explained that it would take the IT department two years to complete this project, but due to the lack of data base administrators, they cannot build the foundation like this outside company can. He noted that once they own the source code, they can service it and will have the programmers to support it at that point. Mr. Adams further stated that Juliet Richey has been working with a lot of down programs and has been surprised at what she has been able to accomplish and it is the citizens that will suffer from the problems that they have as she cannot guarantee the integrity of the information.
- 197.2 R. Cochran noted that there is one other bonus to this in that when they take it over after the 90 days, we do not have an annual or monthly service contract which is an expense on many of the other packages that they have had, and he believes being able to handle it in-house is a good direction to go.
- 197.3 C. Clark stated that this is a one-time expense as opposed to any personnel issues that go on for years and years and they can sell it to any other planning department in the area who might be interested in it. She stated that this is one of the best software deals she has heard of in a long time. She encouraged everyone to approve this one-time expense from the General Fund.
- Judge Edwards stated that they would be surprised at how often other counties call us to see what we are doing as far as procedures and will visit and believes that this will happen in this situation.
- 197.5 T. Lundstrum stated that both Juliet Richey and John Adams have provided more information on this and he understands that John will be able to maintain it past the upgrade time of five years.
- 197.6 With no further discussion, Judge Edwards called for a vote on the motion to adopt the ordinance.
- 197.7 <u>VOTING FOR:</u> E. Madison, J. Patterson, B. Pond, B. Ussery, R. Aman, R. Bailey, C. Clark, R. Cochran, B. Fitzpatrick, A. Harbison, and T. Lundstrum. The motion passed unanimously by those present. The ordinance was adopted.

ORDINANCE NO. 2013-46, BOOK NO. 9, PAGE NO. 234

- AN ORDINANCE SUSPENDING BUDGET CONTROLS AND CREATING
 ADDITIONAL PERSONNEL POSITIONS IN THE ANIMAL SHELTER
 BUDGET; AND APPROPORIATING THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF \$69,406
 FROM THE GENERAL FUND FOR SAID POSITIONS FOR 2013: C. Clark
 introduced An Ordinance Suspending Budget Controls And Creating
 Additional Personnel Positions In The Animal Shelter Budget; And
 Appropriating The Total Amount Of \$69,406 From The General Fund For
 Said Positions For 2013, and County Attorney George Butler read the
 ordinance.
- 198.2 C. Clark made a motion to adopt the ordinance. B. Pond seconded.
- 198.3 E. Madison stated that she cannot support this ordinance as it currently reads as she has several concerns about the shelter financing. She stated that this appropriation is premature as this is the absolute busiest time of the year for an animal shelter and they need to get through a full year to see what their employee needs are. She has concerns, and she has heard concerns voiced by others in the County as well, about the giving away of cats. She thought really hard about this when the Fayetteville Shelter started doing it. When you give away animals, you devalue them and the whole notion behind having adoption fees is to insure that the people adopting the animals are willing to financially pay for them and not be challenged when it comes time to pay veterinarian bills. She has had heard several friends who could more than afford the adoption fees say that they were going to run to the shelter to get a cat for \$10. She stated that the ASPCA and Humane Society of the United States says if they are having sales on animals, there needs to be a very targeted purpose behind it, such as senior cats that are incredibly hard to adopt. Pure and simple she stated that giving away cats is diverting revenue from the shelter.
- E. Madison stated another issue that relates more directly to this in terms of the expenditures is that she is hearing that the Animal Shelter is not making very effective use of volunteers, and that very good volunteers who have devoted hours and hours to animals in the county do not want to go back to the shelter. She reiterated that they need to have a whole year regulated on how the shelter is running and this needs to go through the budget process.
- 198.5 E. Madison made a motion to amend the ordinance to fund only the Veterinarian and Vet Tech/Kennel Supervisor positions and remove the full time Kennel Supervisor position. C. Clark seconded.
- 198.6 B. Pond stated that he would respectfully not support this amendment. He has visited the shelter and talked to the folks at the shelter and believes that

now that they have this animal shelter, they are going to have to be responsible for the animals that they keep there and trust the people who work there. With regard to E. Madison's comments about giving away animals, he stated that he has a very expensive house cat that was given to them because it was not doing very well in the home where it was staying; and it for some reason they had to find this cat a different home, he would not expect anyone to pay a penny.

- T. Lundstrum stated that there is not anyone more opposed to growing this government than he is and he voted against the animal shelter; however, this Quorum Court was convinced that they needed one that it is now up and running. He stated that at the County Services Committee meeting they heard statistics comparing the County Animal Shelter with both Fayetteville and Springdale Shelters, and the County's Shelter is operating on a lot less than the others, even adding these three new people. He stated that it seems to him they have two alternatives; they either staff and run it properly or they close it. He stated that he has the same respect for those operating the Animal Shelter as he does for Planning Director Juliet Richey and if they say they need these additional staff members, he believes that they do. T. Lundstrum stated that he would not support this amendment, but does plan to support the addition of the three staff members.
- B. Fitzpatrick stated that she would not support the amendment, but would support the three positions that they have asked for. She stated that they have already hashed this out thoroughly in Personnel and in Finance. When they look at what they need and that the Shelter actually opened last September and has been operating for nearly a year, and the amount of overtime hours that have been put in and the loss of employees this has caused on an already stressful job. Putting in 20% extra of extra work load every week has caused a lot of stress on an already stressful job. The third position of Kennel Supervisor was actually going to be a floater and help in all areas in the shelter when needed.
- C. Clark stated that they hashed it out in County Services for two people and got hit with the third person in Personnel Committee, and she has strongly thought about the justification for the personnel. She stated that she will strongly support the two critical positions, the full-time Veterinarian which they should have had when they opened the Shelter and the Vet-Tech/Kennel Supervisor that will give relief to the other Vet-Tech and more help in the kennel. However, she will not support the third position right now, but that does not mean that during the budget cycle, she would not be able to support this. C. Clark stated that other than with the IT Department, she does not remember a time when they were hit with the addition of so many people at one time. She pointed out that personnel costs are forever and APERS kills them.

- 200.1 R. Bailey stated that when he voted for this Shelter, his conservative friends gave him a very hard time and as the second animal shelter he has dealt with, this is déjà vu all over again, and he will not support one more employee. He noted that they have had over 2000 hours of voluntary help while Springdale has nothing like that and runs a very efficient shelter. He concurs with E. Madison that they should just wait a while before adding any employees.
- A. Harbison stated that she will support the ordinance, but will not support the amendment. She noted that they did hash out the Veterinarian and Vet-Tech positions in County Services, and Chief of Staff Dan Short was asked to bring them what was absolutely needed to run the Shelter and it was after that when the third position was added. When people come and need additional money and we put them thru the ringer. This is needed and she will support the three positions.
- 200.3 R. Aman stated that he is not in favor of the ordinance or the amendment. He stated that he would like to rent the animal shelter out for a dollar to an organization or more, and if these people really care for the animals, then they can take care of them.
- With no further discussion, Judge Edwards called for a vote on the motion to amend the ordinance.
- 200.5 <u>VOTING FOR:</u> E. Madison, B. Ussery, and C. Clark. <u>VOTING AGAINST:</u> J. Patterson, B. Pond, R. Aman, R. Bailey, R. Cochran, B. Fitzpatrick, A. Harbison, and T. Lundstrum. <u>The motion failed with three members voting in favor and eight voting against the motion.</u>
- 200.6 A. Harbison made a motion to adopt the ordinance as presented. B. Pond seconded.
- 200.7 With no further discussion, Judge Edwards called for a vote on the motion to adopt the ordinance as presented.
- 200.8 VOTING FOR: B. Pond, B. Fitzpatrick, A. Harbison, and T. Lundstrum.

 VOTING AGAINST: E. Madison, J. Patterson, B. Ussery, R. Aman, R. Bailey, C. Clark, and R. Cochran. The motion failed with four members voting for and seven members voting against the motion.
- 200.9 County Attorney George Butler stated if the sponsor wants to bring the ordinance back, it is possible to pass on second or third reading with 8 votes. He further stated that they can not reconsider an ordinance with the same reading unless the person making the motion voted with the majority.

- 201.1 C. Clark made a motion to amend to consider the full-time Veterinarian and Vet-Tech positions. A. Harbison seconded.
- With no further discussion, Judge Edwards called for a vote on the motion to amend considering the two positions.
- 201.3 <u>VOTING FOR:</u> E. Madison, B. Pond, B. Ussery, C. Clark, B. Fitzpatrick, A. Harbison, and T. Lundstrum. <u>VOTING AGAINST:</u> J. Patterson, R. Aman, R. Bailey, and R. Cochran. The motion failed with seven members voting in favor and four members voting against the motion.
- 201.4 Mary Ann Spears arrives at meeting.
- 201.5 County Attorney Butler stated that it would take eight votes to pass, so it will still have to come back for a second and third reading.
- 201.6 R. Cochran stated that they have had a lot of discussion on personnel staffing at the Animal Shelter and the first and most consistent item is the amount of overtime that they are spending. He would therefore propose that they go the other way and amend to add just the Kennel Supervisor to help for the staffing and then study the need for the Vet and Vet-Tech during the budget process.
- 201.7 R. Cochran made a motion to bring #6.6 back and only consider creating a personnel position at the Animal Shelter for a Kennel Supervisor. B. Pond seconded.
- 201.8 County Attorney George Butler stated that this would require suspension of Roberts Rules.
- 201.9 B. Pond made a motion to suspend Roberts Rules in order to reconsider Ordinance #6.6 a second time. R. Cochran seconded.
- 201.10 A. Harbison stated that she does not believe that they should be suspending Roberts Rules and will not support this motion.
- 201.11 With no further discussion, Judge Edwards called for a vote on the motion to suspend Roberts Rules.
- 201.12 <u>VOTING FOR:</u> B. Pond, M. Spears, and R. Cochran. <u>VOTING AGAINST:</u>
 E. Madison, J. Patterson, B. Ussery, R. Aman, R. Bailey, C. Clark, B. Fitzpatrick, A. Harbison, and T. Lundstrum. The motion failed with three members voting in favor and nine members voting against the motion.

- 202.1 County Attorney George Butler stated that with this motion failing, they are done with Ordinance #6.6 for tonight.
- AN ORDINANCE TRANSFERRING MONIES OF \$10,000 WITHIN THE CIRCUIT COURT III JUVENILE DIVISION BUDGET IN THE GENERAL FUND FOR 2013: C. Clark introduced An Ordinance Transferring Monies Of \$10,000 Within The Circuit Court III Juvenile Division Budget In The General Fund For 2013, and County Attorney George Butler read the ordinance.
- 202.3 C. Clark made a motion to adopt the ordinance. B. Pond seconded.
- With no further discussion, Judge Edwards called for a vote on the motion to adopt the ordinance.
- 202.5 <u>VOTING FOR:</u> E. Madison, J. Patterson, B. Pond, M. Spears, B. Ussery, R. Aman, R. Bailey, C. Clark, R. Cochran, B. Fitzpatrick, A. Harbison, and T. Lundstrum. The motion passed unanimously by those present. The ordinance was adopted.

ORDINANCE NO. 2013-47, BOOK NO. 9, PAGE NO. 235

- AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$10,000 FROM THE GENERAL FUND TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS BUDGET FOR 2013: C. Clark introduced An Ordinance Appropriating \$10,000 From The General Fund To The Environmental Affairs Budget For 2013, and County Attorney George Butler read the ordinance.
- 202.7 C. Clark explained that this was our part in order to participate in the Beaver Water Alliance.
- 202.8 C. Clark made a motion to adopt the ordinance. A. Harbison seconded.
- 202.9 With no further discussion, Judge Edwards called for a vote on the motion to adopt the ordinance.
- 202.10 <u>VOTING FOR:</u> E. Madison, J. Patterson, B. Pond, M. Spears, B. Ussery, R. Bailey, C. Clark, R. Cochran, B. Fitzpatrick, A. Harbison, and T. Lundstrum. <u>VOTING AGAINST:</u> R. Aman. The motion passed with eleven members voting in favor and one member voting against the motion. The ordinance was adopted.

ORDINANCE NO. 2013-48, BOOK NO. 9, PAGE NO. 236

- AN ORDINANCE ANTICIPATING ADDITIONAL REVENUES OF \$325,000 IN THE DRUG COURT GRANT FUND; AND APPROPRIATING THE AMOUNT OF \$325,000 FROM THE DRUG COURT GRANT FUND TO THE SAMHSA-2 GRANT BUDGET FOR 2013: C. Clark introduced An Ordinance Anticipating Additional Revenues Of \$325,000 In The Drug Court Grant Fund; And Appropriating The Amount Of \$325,000 From The Drug Court Grant Fund To The SAMHSA-2 Grant Budget For 2013, and County Attorney George Butler read the ordinance.
- 203.2 C. Clark made a motion to adopt the ordinance. A. Harbison seconded.
- 203.3 R. Aman asked how much of this would be going toward local prevention; to which E. Madison replied that this money is going to treat the people in drug court. This is just the second year that they have had this grant and her understanding is that all of the funding is going toward a variety of entities that provide services to people. This money is essentially going towards treatment rehabilitation.
- 203.4 With no further discussion, Judge Edwards called for a vote on the motion to adopt the ordinance.
- 203.5 <u>VOTING FOR:</u> E. Madison, J. Patterson, B. Pond, M. Spears, B. Ussery, R. Aman, R. Bailey, C. Clark, R. Cochran, B. Fitzpatrick, A. Harbison, and T. Lundstrum. The motion passed unanimously by those present. The ordinance was adopted.

ORDINANCE NO. 2013-49, BOOK NO. 9, PAGE NO. 237

- 203.6 COUNTY JUDGE'S REPORT: Judge Edwards stated at the request of the Washington County Bar Association, she is recommending that Mr. Brock Showalter be appointed for a 5-year term to the Law Library Board. This appointment will fill the position previously held by Dianne Boyd whose term expired this year. Mr. Showalter is with Showalter & Associates Attorneys at Law.
- 203.7 R. Bailey made a motion to confirm the appointment to the Law Library Board. C. Clark seconded. The motion passed unanimously by those present by voice vote. The appointment was confirmed.
- 203.8 Judge Edwards stated that due to their lengthy discussions at the meeting tonight, she will bypass the County Road Department update which is pretty much the same as this time last month with the rain slowing down their work somewhat. She did state how grateful they are for not having the disaster that Benton County is experiencing.